

Meeting Summary
South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project Stakeholder Forum
Flood Management Work Group
2/18/04

Participants

See attached roster.

1. Work Group Protocols

Austin McInerny of the Center for Collaborative Policy (CCP) welcomed all participants. After self-introductions, McInerny handed out a four-page information piece on the Stakeholder Forum Work Groups that describes the purpose of the Work Groups and how outcomes from Work group meetings will be reported to the Stakeholder Forum and the Project Management Team. He also distributed a specific information sheet for the Flood Management Work Group that included a proposed meeting schedule, proposed issues for discussion at those meetings, and contact information for the Project Management staff associated with the Flood Management Work Group.

2. Membership

Participants made suggestions of other organizations that should be contacted to ascertain their interest in participating in the work group and also suggested possible methods for spreading the word about the work group's efforts. These suggestions included:

- Advertise at the March 18, 2004 Local Government Forum
- Create a 1-page flyer for distribution
- Notify the Alviso Water Task Force and Watershed Advisory Committees
- Notify the Lower Alameda Creek Advisory Committee
- Notify the American Public Works Association
- Notify the American Society of Civil Engineers
- Create public service announcements (radio/television)
- Notify the San Mateo County Flood Control District

3. Phil Williams and Associates: Critical path issues for flood management in the planning process

Bob Battalio, P.E. from Phil Williams and Associates provided a very detailed overview of various strategies for addressing flood management issues for the South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project. He also began discussing the various deliverables that his team will be producing to support the development of feasible project alternatives. In particular, Battalio described a Flood Management Concept Plan that will be produced by September/October, 2004. This plan will identify concepts and criteria necessary for alternatives development. Unfortunately, there was insufficient time for Battalio to complete his presentation. The

Powerpoint presentation will be made available from the project website (<http://www.southbayrestoration.org/Documents.html>)

4. Work Group Comments and Questions on Key Topics

McInerny asked participants which topics they felt they needed to be better educated on before being able to provide good feedback to the Forum and the PM Team. Questions and comments included the following:

- What does “emphasize consistency” mean in the detailed project objectives (Objective (c)(iii))?
- How will potential flood impacts be identified?
- How will effluent from water treatment plants be incorporated into the modeling effort?
- Will flood bypasses be utilized in the final restoration design? (A meeting participant submitted a written letter detailing a number of habitat, public access, and flood management concerns. This letter will be distributed to the other Work Groups and will be discussed at future Flood Management Work Group meetings).

5. Meeting Schedule

McInerny provided options for the March meeting dates (March 29, 30, or 31) and for the May meeting dates (May 24-28) and asked participants to contact him with their preferences. He also asked whether or not the participants had a preference for holding meetings during the day or evening. While the group expressed little interest in scheduling meetings in the evening, everyone understood that evening meetings might be necessary to allow the broader public an opportunity to participate. Based on the dates provided by meeting participants, McInerny will identify a March meeting date and time and notify everyone.

6. Recommendations to the Stakeholder Forum and PM Team:

None were made at this meeting.

Next Meeting of the Flood Management Work Group:

March 29, 2:00 – 5:00 pm

Alameda County Flood Control District's field office

951 Turner Court in Hayward - (located in the vicinity of Chabot College).

Draft Agenda:

1. Review/feedback on PWA progress on detailed project objectives
2. Status of PWA data sources/summary plan: feedback from the group
3. Envisioning flood management approaches

Attachment 1: February 18, 2004 Meeting Attendance

First Name	Last Name	Email	Company/Organization
John	Armstrong	jackarmy46@sbcglobal.net	Palo Alto Sportsmen Club
Bob	Battalio	b.battalio@pwa-ltd.com	PWA
Norton	Bell	nwbell@juno.com	FWS
Margaret	Bruce	mbruce@svmg.org	Silicon Valley Manufacturing Group
Don	Danmeier	d.danmeier@pwa-ltd.com	PWA
Robert	Douglas	robert_douglass@cargill.com	Cargill Salt
Beth	Dyer	bdyer@valleywater.org	Santa Clara Valley Water District
Jim	Fiedler	fiedler@scvwd.dst.ca.us	Santa Clara Valley Water District
Stuart	Gannes	sgannes@stanford.edu	Stanford University
Amy	Hutzel	ahutzel@scc.ca.gov	Coastal Conservancy
Ellen	Johnck	ellen@bayplanningcoalition.org	Bay Planning Coalition
Ralph	Johnson	joh19701@comcast.net	Alameda County Flood Control District
Kristy	McCumby	kmccumby@ci.sunnyvale.ca.us	City of Sunnyvale, POTW
Tony	Petroccitto	tonypetroccitto@w-and-k.com	WINZLER & KELLY CONSULTING
Tom	Phillips	thomaswphillips@aol.com	
Steven	Richie	steve_ritchie@urscorp.com	URS Corporation
Alice	Ringer	alice.ringer@cityofpaloalto.org	Santa Clara Basin Watershed
Russ	Robinson	russ1011@ix.netcom.com	California Recreational Boaters of
Richard	Santos	rsantos@valleywater.org	Santa Clara Valley Water District
Raymond	Schuler	Raymond.F.Schuler@nasa.gov	NASA
Daniel	Strickman	daniel.strickman@deh.co.scl.ca.us	Santa Clara County Vector Control
Kirsten	Struve	kirsten.struve@sanjoseca.gov	City of San Jose, Santa Clara