



Landscape Visions of the South Bay



Lynne Trulio June 13, 2005





Topics covered...

- Landscape Visions of the South Bay
- Scientific Basis of the Project
 Objectives
- Using Charette Results







Project Alternatives as Landscape Visions

- ISP Operation (No Action)
- 50% full tidal action (tidal marsh)/
 50% managed pond
- 75% tidal action/ 25% managed pond
- 90% tidal action/ 10% managed pond



Charette Landscape Visions

<u>Charette Goals</u>:

- Develop a vision for 2050
- Identify key uncertainties
- Target areas for early action



<u>Charette Vision 1</u>



<u>Charette Vision 2</u>







<u>Scientific Basis of the Project Objectives:</u> Can all the Project Objectives be Achieved?

- Developed by the Science Team using the Science Syntheses, Workshop results, Charette, Consultant modeling and reports and other authoritative information
- NOT the official position of the PMT
- For use by the PMT and Stakeholders in evaluating Alternatives and setting restoration targets







<u>Goals of the Analysis</u>

- Provide an answer to these questions:
 - What are the <u>minimum</u> conditions needed to achieve the Project Objectives?
 - Where are there <u>conflicts</u> between Project Objectives that represent challenges?
- Looked at all the Project Objectives, but rare species and migratory birds were the drivers in this analysis.





<u>A few assumptions</u>

- Project will provide all habitat needed to meet the South Bay recovery goals for rail and mouse, and ½ needed for the plover.
- Project area will support migratory bird diversity found at the pre-ISP level.
- Ponds will be managed per ISP or as reconfigured under the Project.
- Spartina and invasive species will be controlled.









- Rail and Mouse--~7,400 acres of tidal marsh (1984 Recovery Plan, now under revision; Weiss, pers. comm.)
- Plover--between 500 and 3,000 acres of seasonal pond nesting habitat for 125 pairs
- Pre-ISP migratory bird numbers supported on half the current pond acreage
- 50% tidal marsh/50% managed pond is a good, conservative place to start





Project Challenges

- Plover habitat vs. tidal marsh and migratory bird habitat
- MeHg and tidal marsh restoration
- Public access and wildlife diversity
- Tidal marsh/pond habitats and mosquitoes
- Spartina and invasive species control



Time





Two things to avoid:

- Undertaking irreversible actions that move the Project to far toward tidal marsh—i.e., carefully plan each Phase to the limits of our knowledge.
- Implementing Project actions that preclude reaching a full or nearly full tidal marsh—i.e., small projects that short-circuit more complete tidal marsh restoration.







<u>There are many uncertainties...</u>

- Bird use of tidal marsh and managed habitats, MeHg, *S. alterniflora* and other problem species, sediment, social dynamics
- We cannot know the final configuration
- Adaptive Management—Will tell us how far along the tidal marsh continuum we can go and still reach the Project Objectives





Adaptive Management is based on...

- Thorough understanding of the system
- Predictions of system response to change
- Monitoring to assess response
- Study to improve predictions and understand unexpected responses





Science Sections of the Draft AMP

- <u>Restoration Targets</u> from literature, field data, modeling, compliance standards
- <u>Monitoring</u> to assess progress toward targets and early warning—parameters and protocols
- <u>Applied Studies</u> to reduce uncertainties focus on MeHg, bird use, sediments, problem species, social dynamics, large-scale issues.

<u>Charette's Uncertainties List</u> <u>for Applied Studies</u>

- Mercury
- Sediment Dynamics/Mudflats
- Bird Use of Different Habitats, esp. tidal marsh ponds/pannes
- Non-avian benefits
- Socio-economic dynamics
- Very large scale issues



Target Areas for Early Actions

- Eden Landing—ISP Studies
 - Bird use study: Ponds 10/11, 14/15/16, 8
 - See Applied Studies in Draft AMP
 - MeHg response in food chain
 - Sediment dynamics study: North Creek and Mt. Eden Creek Breaches
 - See Applied Studies in Draft AMP
 - MeHg response in food chain
 - Bird community response to change

Target Areas for Early Actions

- Alviso Complex—ISP Studies
 - Sediment dynamics: Island Ponds
 - See Applied Studies in Draft AMP
 - MeHg mobilization in the food chain
 - Vegetation response
 - Species responses, esp. fish and birds
 - MeHg study for Pond A8 Actions
 - Study in prep by SFEI and USGS
 - Sediment dynamics
 - Bird use responses

Target Areas for Early Actions

- ISP Studies and Monitoring
 - Assess pond discharge effects
 - Develop data on bird use of Bay tidal flats
- Restoration Project Study Opportunities
 - <u>Eden Landing</u>: Panne creation; transitional habitat development; *Spartina* and invasive species control
 - <u>Alviso</u>: Island creation; MeHg mobilization; invasive species control
 - <u>Both</u>: Oyster reef, eelgrass bed establishment





Thanks to the Science Team

- writing the Syntheses
- comments on Scientific Basis of the POs
- comments on AMP
- developing Applied Studies

